Approved For Release 2005/04/28 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003300410012-2
25X1

February 22, 1952

Dear Mr. President:

I have the honor to submit a report on the organization and work of the Psychological Strategy Board from the time of my appointment as Director in July, 1951, until the present time.

Please accept my apology for the delay involved, which has been due rather to pressure of duties than to neglect. I resumed my full responsibilities at the University of North Carolina on January first, and have also continued as a part time consultant to the Board and Director.

I am happy to inform you that the Board and its staff have filled a real need in our government and are now working with considerable promise of success.

In the first months of the Board's existence they have:

- 1. Stimulated activities within the government to develop a vigorous and successful psychological effort designed to further our national policies for peace and freedom.
- 2. Brought together the various agencies of government to concert their efforts in support of such policies.

This progress has been made possible by the cooperation of the Board members themselves and the staffs of the different departments and agencies, notably the Department of State, the Department of Defense and the Central Intelligence agency.

Though this favorable start has been made, much remains to be done. I have had to leave to the new Director and his staff many problems of organization and procedure as well as the substantive problems which will always call for fresh thought and effort.

The experience to date has also suggested the necessity of some changes which I strongly recommend that you make at the earliest opportunity.

NSC review(s) completed.

TOP SECRET

I wish to take this opportunity to record my appreciation of the help I have received from the officers of many government departments and agencies and of the loyal cooperation given me by the members and the staff of the Psychological Strategy Board.

I am indebted to members of the staff for assistance in the preparation of this report, and especially to Wallace Carroll, who also served as a consultant.

I would recommend to you publication of the attached document, other than the annexes, which are of a classified nature.

Respectfully,

a/ Gordon Gray

Gordon Gray

The President

The White House

Approved For Release 2005/04/28; CIA-RDP80R01731R003300410013-2

Thermo4

MEPORT TO THE PRESIDENT

We face today one of the great convulsions of history. The world in which we live is being changed by strong currents of thought and feeling — currents released by the American and French Revolutions in the 18th Century, by the Industrial Revolution in the 19th and by two destructive were and the Russian Revolution in our own time. This is no longer the world into which most of us were born. We may be sure that it will be a far different world before we die.

In this time of crisis and stress, the American nation has risen to a new role. We may speak of this role without sanity or self-consciousness because we did not seek it but rather tried to sweld it. Our role, as we have now expressed it in our national pelicies, is to help lead the nations through this time of turnoil, in such a way that in the end there shall be an expansion — not a reduction — of the areas of freedom and knowledge. Expressed in another way, our role is to build a bridge over the abyse of confusion and frustration so that humanity may safely cross. If we can succeed in this role, the peoples of the world may be spared the sacrifice of human life and achievement which accommanied other great convulsions of history, and each nation may find release for its energies and genius in an era of peace and human dignity.

It will not be easy for us to play this role. For spart from the natural flow of historical forces, we know that the leaders of smother great power have determined to exploit the trials of this period to the full. Years ago the men in the Kremlin sensed the approach of this turning point in human affairs. Today they are working, scheming, to intensify the strains, compound the chaos and ride the currents of nationalism, social unrest and despair to their ultimate goal of a world serving the ends of the Kremlin. Their strategy might be condensed into three words: Ruin and rule.

Our reaction to this drive for world power was alow, but when it came, it took the form of am idea. This idea — at first expressed in the Erman Doutrine and the Marshall Plan — was basically as simple as this: America will help those who, believing in freedom, help themselves and help each other. Behind the force of this idea we put our economic and industrial strength. To the ecuntries which showed a will to survive and to cooperate, we sent food, machines to grow more food, and still more machines to produce everything from shoes to electricity. We sent them our technical experts to raise preduction in their factories and on their farms,

Top factions.

to drain sumps, to dem rivers, to drive out malaria and other diseases, to teach the three r's. When the allies and dapes of the Kremlin spread lies about this effort, we launched a compaign of truth over the air/waves, in newspapers, in films, in public motings. Then we helped our friends in the free world to raise a defensive shield over this peaceful effort.

Our economic help, our information program, our defense effort — if visely used — are indispensable parts of the American program for bridging this period of upheaval. But the relentless assault of the Kremlin upon the bridge has demonstrated the need of another element. This missing element is an integrated psychological strategy.

There are practical and compelling reasons why we should make the fullest use of our resources in ideas and imagination, why we should make certain that all our sacrifices are directed toward the attainment of clearly defined ends. We must think in terms of preserving our country's economic, as well as moral, fiber in order to continue successfully our role of leadership.

Our aim is peace — not war. Though a protective shield is necessary to peace in a world threatened with war, we cannot indefinitely pour out our resources for economic and military aid, and preserve our own shrength. We must use our ingenuity to find less costly means to produce situations of strength which will reduce the possibilities of war and simultaneously serve to shorten the present conflict.

In doing so, we must make it alear to those who are our friends, and to those who would be our friends, that we not only abbor militaristic imperialism, but also that we disclaim oultural and intellectual imperialism as well. The only rule we meak is the Golden Rule.

THE MOTORGED

themsely leader conscious the first theid the, a Reseise revolutionary leader conscious the idea of a kind of struggle which would be "neither war ner peace." That leader was later disposed of by his less inventive versules, but they eventually found merit in his idea and resedved to adapt it to the convalsive situation which would follow the second World May. Today the world knows the meaning of their choice — an assemble which stope short of general war, carried out under the check of an unnatural peace.

That assembly began, in fact, before the second World War was over. As the armies of the Western Allies advanced, the forces of international Communism set to work in their rear to poison the minds of the liberated against the liberators, to turn the free nations against each other, to seize positions of power, and to break down the prestige of the United States. And while the victorious nations of the West were disbanding their armed forces, the Kramlin's men in every country were moving to battle stations in preparation for the "final struggle" se long foretold in Communist song and fable.

In blaning curselves for what came after, we often overlook the fact that the leaders of Bolshevism had been training themselves in this kind of combat for a good half-century. Within their own country, they had graduated from the hard school of conspiracy and revolution. After their seisure of power in Russia, their institutes of political warfare had schooled foreign fanatics in the techniques of infiltration, subversion and the conquest of power. Throughout the world they had built up instructs of agents who would move at the worl of command to carry out an assessination or forment a civil were.

There was no great element of genius in the Kremlin's effort, but that effort had mass and momentum and a functional persistence. And although Communium had lost much of its power to comvert, the Soviets still retained ample power to confuse. The elessic rule of imperialism, "Divide and comquer" guided much of what they did. Notion against nation, race against race, man against man — this was their stock in trade. They know, of course, how to take advantage of man's victore, but they found it just as profitchle to appeal to man's victore. They tristed homest labor, shock down timed ampleyate, laved unwary characters into furthering their strategy of confusion. They seem found a way to use the word "peace" as a weapon of assemble.

The adventage in unrings accrues to the aggressor. In the disillusionment, the weariness, the confusion of the post-war world,

the ferthe of Commentum edvenced confidently toward the ultimate goal set by the Seviet leadure — a world responsive to the Brealin.

Insuitably the leadership of the assaulted peoples imposed itself upon the United States, for we were the one great power which had some out of the war with reserves of mayel and material strength. But by temperament and by tradition we Americans whre ill-fitted for this kind of struggle. We fight were the way we play football. We want to win, hour up the goal posts and then go home. We found it hard in 1945 — we find it hard today — to comprehend that peace may be made an extension of war by other means. We called back excrighting men and returned them to their homes, thus exposing Europe and Asia to Soviet blackmail. We all but dismantled our vertime information services, thus opening the world to the Soviet lie. As a nation we tried to reverse time and rediscover the peaceful existence of the years before the war.

Thus nearly two years passed before we began to face up \$7 the responsibility of leadership which had some upon we.

When we did react, we moved one step at a time. First we pledged our support to Greece and Turkey, two nations which were helding the gates of the Hear East in the face of increasing pressure from Communism. Then, when the Kremlin strategists shifted the weight of the Communist assault to Western Europe, we lammahed the Marshall Plan and brought tegether his mations to work for Buropean recovery. With the aid of these nations we set Mestern Germany on the read to rehabilitation, and when the Soviets set siege to the free city of Berlin, we and our British allies imprevised the airlift and saved that extpost of freeden. Hert we moved to the aid of Ingoslavia, whose government had defied the Kremlin, and we were successful to this extent in relling book the iron ourtain. In the following years, together with our European allies, we begun to raise a protestive shield over the work of recovery.

Though we had started out without a long-rungs plan or binoprint, the not result of all those efforts was a solid piece of eiestruction. A wall against Commist aggression was exceled from the Black Sea to the North Cape of Herway. Here more important for the long run, we and our allies had not great ideas in notion — the ideas of the Atlantic Community, of Burepean Union, of a coal-steel peak for Vectors Burepe, and of a Burepean comp.

Balled in Burupe and the Hear Bast, the Communist strategists tupoed the main fures of their anomals to Asia. Even there, where buses alsony was great and the ald order in decay, the power of Communism as an idea had to be basked by the force of arms and a spurious agreed to nationalism. The Chinase Communist assets advanced

serves thins until they had compared the mainland and stood at the gates of Southeast Asia. At the same time, Communist forces, ranging from generalla bands to mass service, brought terror to Indeshine, Malaya; Burne, and the Philippines.

Then the North Kerean Communists launched an open attack upon the Republic of Morea, a word of the United Nations. This was a challenge to the United States in the first instance and to the free world as a whole. If it had not been equaraly faced, it would have eponed the floodgates of disaster in Asia. But the United States faced it, and, backed by the United Nations, repulsed the North Koreans and the Chinese Communists who had joined them. This military success may well have been a turning point. It was supplemented by a great diplements achievement in the face of determined Soviet apposition —the community of a peace treaty with Japan which restored that key nation of Asia to the community of nations.

So in the five years which followed the second World War we could look back upon some successes and some failures. We could also look forward to further—and possibly greater — trials. Our economic and defense programs, pursued in cooperation with our friends, were restoring economic health and raising confidence that peace could be maintained. Yet, at the same time, they were causing misgivings in many parts of the world because they seemed to some people to be manifestations of a new imperialism. In fact, the "recervoir of goodwill" for the United States which had existed in many countries was being seriously depleted.

THE SECURIS OF THE PETCHOLOGICAL STRATEGY BOARD

Berly in 1951 a feeling developed within the U. S. government that we had to do more them us had done in the part to vin and hald the confidence of our friends abread and weaken the will of our exempter.

This feeling was the result of an evolutionary precess. In the departments and agencies of the government a great deal of reflection had been given to the lessons we had learned in the struggle and a general desire had developed to eccept our efforts to better effect.

In the years which followed the war, a number of committees had been set up to coordinate the work of different departments and agencies in the information and prepaganda fields. These committees had no authority, however, to deal with matters of broad policy or strategy. They could not, for example, challenge decisions which might be communically or militarily sound but psychologically harmful. They worked some distance below the top in the chain of leader-ship and had little influence on policies and decisions. No committee or agency had the power to develop broad strategic ideas which would bring forth the highest capabilities of all agencies of government.

Purthermore, there was a diffusion of national power saving departments, conscious of traditional compartmentation of interests and authority and on guard against intrusion in affairs felt to be their exclusive concern. The interdepartmental difficulties and lack of unified leadership denied to the United States the full value and impact of her hold gots in recent years.

But what was the easure to the problem? Was it possible to develop a strategic concept which would put more order and drive into all phases of our affort? And could we present our policies and agts in such a light that they would strike a responsive chord in the hearts and scale of men and make them feel that their occuse was our essen?

In seaking an answer to questions like these, some high efficials become convinced that we needed the same kind of unified leadership as in a military struggle. Accordingly, they proposed the appointment of a cert of "chief of staff for the cold war" responsible directly to the President and Commander-in-Chief. This chief of staff, with an advisory bound of high-level officials, would work out the bread structory, fix objectives and priorities, decide the rule of each government agency and direct the over-all notional offert.

Others in the government found this proposal too redical. They believed there were sound reasons for the reles which tradition and the statutes had assigned to each government agency. In their opinion, the insertion of a "chief of staff," between the Precident and the departments would be a needless complication, would probably do have to our system of government, and would give a warlike east to a peaceful mission. They suggested that a coordinating mechanism high up in the chair of comment or perhaps in one of the major departments might produce a more effective national effort.

The directive which the President issued on April 4, 1951, was something of a compromise between these views. It did not appoint a chief of staff for the national psychological effort, but it did order some of the highest officers of the government to provide for "the more effective planning, coordination and conduct, within the framework of approved national policies, of psychological eperations."

To accomplish this purpose, the President directed that the Under Secretary of State, the Deputy Secretary of Defense and the Director of Central Intelligence should serve as a Psychologisal Strategy Board. Under them there would be a Director appointed by the President. The Director would have a permanent staff to help him carry out his responsibilities. A representative of the Jrint Chiefs of Staff would ait with the Board as its principal military adviser.

The President's order made the Board responsible for the "formulation and promulgation...of over-all national psychological objectives, policies and programs, and for the coordination and evaluation of the national psychological effort." It was to report to the National Security Council on its own activities and on the activities of all agencies engaged in the effort to influence men's minds and wills.

This was a bread mendate. In setting "over-all national psychological objectives," the Board would identify exactly what we were trying to accomplish. Then it would draw up policies and programs to schieve those objectives. It would bring together all the government agencies which could play a part in such programs and find out what they could contribute. It would follow through and make sure that all the agencies were working together and doing their part. It would constantly study the programs to influence other people in favor of our work for peace and freedom. It would report to the Sational Security Council on these programs and the over-all effort in the field of psychological strategy.

The Board would have an asute realization that every significant action in the field of fereign affairs by any governmental agency has an effect upon the minds and wills of man. To maximise that effect the government must act in its different spheres according to a common plan which relates all actions together.

The President's directive did not put the Board into the field of sperations. The Board would not, for comple, manage the Voice of America or any of the information offices which the government had not up in other countries. It was to be a high-level group working in the field of broad strategy and correlated into

The first Director went to work on July 2, 1951. The Department of State, the Department of Defence and the Central Intelligence Agency lent him enyigh help to set up the minimum of a staff. This staff was organized in this way:

- 1. An Office of Plans and Policy. This staff group works on broad strategic problems, defines the objectives which we should aim at in our psychological effort, and draws up, in cooperation with other agencies, the programs to achieve those objectives.
- 2. An Office of Coordination. This staff group helps tim together the efforts already under way in the psychological field and follows through on plans and programs approved by the Board.
- 3. An Office of Evaluation and Review. This staff group obtains from other agencies of the government the intelligence estimates which the staff needs for the work and prepares evaluations of the effectiveness of American psychological operations.
- 4. An Reservive Office for administrative matters.

In recruiting the permanent staff the Director was handiemped at the start, not only by the normal difficulties of recruiting able men in the government, but also by the shortage of experts in psychological strategy and operations. Within the government there were able administrators and specialists for the normal problems of peace. In the armed services could be found many able officers trained in the arts of war. But nowhere sithin the government — now for that matter in the nation — was there any considerable master of men trained to cope with a situation which was "neither war now peace." As we Americans had never dreamed of forcing this kind of conflict upon the world, we had made no preparations for it.

SOME MUSICOMORPHICAS

Perhaps more serious them any staffing difficulties was the lack of a bedy of thought an psychological strategy. Because of this there was a great deal of confusion regarding the role of the Board and its staff. Serious apprehensions developed in the minds of people in the established departments and agencies with regard to a possible surrender of traditional authority and yesponsibility. The result was that they viewed the creation of the Board with something less then unrestrained authorisms.

There were also many misconceptions which threatened to hemper the work of the Psychological Strategy Board. Perhaps the most widespread of these was the idea that the Board was so exceed itself only with "word warfare". Those who believed this felt that the Board should confine its activity to explaining — or explaining sway — the decisions or actions of our government in the foreign field. They decisions or actions abound have any interest in the decisions or actions themselves. It had to wait until the government moved, and then, for the benefit of foreign peoples, it would put the best possible interpretation on the move. The diplomate would make the political decisions, the military would make the military decisions, the economists would make the soonomic decisions — and the Board would make the best of it.

At the other extreme was the belief that the mandate of the Psychological Strutegy Board covered just about everything everything from the decisions of the Fresident to the hourly bullstins on the Voice of America. Those who favored this belief wanted the Board to be a super-agency which would make foreign policy, develop strategic progress to influence other nations, carry out propagands operations, and in general have examend authority over all government agencies.

A third major obstacle to the Board's early efforts was the deep-seated idea that it is impossible to plan as integrated strategy for our activities to influence the minds and ville of others. The officials who held this view contended that, because of constant international change, it was not practicable nor vise to attempt to put down on paper as adequate statement of our policies and objectives in other parts of the world, which could serve as an accurate and dependable guids. It fullewed that we could not hope to draw up plane and programs to carry out our national policies and reach our objectives. The situation was much too fluid to permit this. We had to wait and see what our opponents were going to do; then we could improvise a response.

的一种企业的企业,但是在1995年,

A fourth impediment to the Board's work was the contention that our intelligence from certain parts of the world was not precise enough to permit effective psychological planning and activity.

A fifth was the idea that we could not risk a bald initiative to improve our position in any part of the world until we had completed our military build-up. In the minds of those who held this view, the sound concept of building "situations of strength" had become distorted to mean "situations of military strength." Until we had achieved military equality with, or preponderance over, the Soviet blod, we could not do much to change the situation in the world to our advantage.

A sixth was the contention that any kind of "strategic planning" must necessarily be military planning.

But perhaps the greatest misconception of all was the videspread impression that the struggle in which we are engaged is a "sold war" — a remote conflict which may go on for ten, fifty or a hundred years without our being able to do very much to bring it to a successful conclusion.

THE PEB CONCEPT

In the course of their work the Director and his staff reached a number of conclusions on these matters.

In the first place, they quickly made up their minds that the Board's mandate covered a great deal more than word warfare. The task of the Board, they believed, was not to explain — or explain every — events but to help shape events. For this reason, they felt that the Board, though not primarily a policy-making body, should strive to obtain wise policies and develop sound programs which would establish an identity between our sime and those of other free nations.

On the other hand, the Director and staff did not accept the view that the Board should concern itself with "almost everything." They felt, particularly, that their instructions to stay out of operational matters were sound. As they saw it, if the Board became entangled in day-to-day decisions and tried to intervent in all fields of government activity, it small soon cease to be a strategy board and would become a "Board of Improvised Tastics."

In the third place the Director and his staff became convinced that it not only is possible but imperative to plan our efforts to influence men's minds and vills. When a nation projects its budgetary outlays at the rate of \$200,000,000 or more a day, it can afford to make up its policies and programs as it goes along. And when it is facing a ruthless appearent who has given half a century of thought to this kind of conflict, it must buckle down to the grim business of taying to think sheed of him. In the opinion of the Director and his staff, the U.S. has the capacity to make plans which will retain the psychological initiative for the free world and pin down our appearance on the defensive.

Fourthly the Director and his staff did not share the view that intelligence deficiencies rule out effective psychological activity. With ingenuity and imagination, they believed, much effective work can be undertaken on the basis of our present knowledge. Later, as our information improves, adjustments can be made in aims and methods.

In the fifth place, the Director and staff rejected the view that effective actions to rally our friends and confound our ensuies must exmit the military build-up. They recalled that the Trumam Bootrine, the Marchall Plan, the Borlin airlift and other successful programs had been carried out when we had burely one





of changes which would strengthen the Board without essentially altering its structure.

- l. The Director should be made Chairman of the Board, possibly without a vote. This is because the Director alone is giving his full attention to psychological strategy; the three members of the Board have other responsibilities which take mach of their time and energy. As Chairman of the Board, the Director would be in a much stronger position to exercise the leadership which is needed.
- 2. The Director should sit with the Matieual Security Gouncil when it equalders matters of interest to the Board. This would permit him to advise the Council with the psychological dangers or advantages of different lines of palicy.
- in The Director should informally mport to the President at regular intervals. Psychological strategy is and must remain an instrument of the President and Commander-in-Chief. Frequent talks with the Director would help the President make more effective use of an instrument which seems he valuable to him, and would put the driving force of an instrument psychological effort.

The Chairman is a manher of the Joint Chiefs of Staff about six with the Board as its military advisor. This would eliminate delays and misunderstandings which arise when a subordinate officer sits for the Joint Chiefs.

These changes would help the Buard to do a better job. For the moment, they appear to be all that is measure. On the basis of the experience to date it does not appear desirable to give the Board a statutory base or to make other drastic modifications in the charges.

deal more than the four adjustments recommended above will be needed to assure success in the war of wills.

The members of the Board must be determined to exercise their mandate vigorously and effectively and to make full use of the available resources. Just as important, the staffs of their

departments and of other agencies of government must be ready to participate in the Board work with a sense of the role of loodership which America is called upon to play. This is no time to look rivalries between agencies or the passion for the lowest common demoninator in ideas determine the scope of our national affort.

If failures of this kind should prevent the Beard from affectively discharging its mandate, it will be messessing to recommider the possibility of setting up a more controlled direction of the netional effort in the war of wills.

The year 1952 is a year of decision.

In some parts of the world the situation may gree weres before it gots better. He must remember that the government of the second strangest power in the world is unring tireleasly to make things were therever it can. It is working to parasets the world with a spirit of hapelessness, fatility and desparation. It is working to turn men's hearts against us, to make men feel that we imprisone are the real disturbers of the peace, that we are delignrately plotting a new war. It is using the aread force of its puppets and the threat of its own military power to accomplish what it could never hope to accomplish by the force of its ideas.

must meet this challenge — but we must meet it in our own way. Masically, this is not a conflict between the United States and the Seviet Union as matices. It is one of the great convuisions of history which a band of conspirators in the Kremlin is meeting to exploit for its own ends. Our role, as we have seen, is to lead the peoples who prime freedom through this period of convuision so that each nation, in its own way, may be free to entried our common heritage in an era of passe and human dignity.

This role of leadership cannot be met by unplanted improvinction. Mo must remember that in the field of international affairs no major demision or action can be taken by our government without some effect — favorable or unfavorable — on the hearts, the minds and the wills of man. Thus it is importaive that the policies we make, the plans we adopt, the acts we perform should be part of, and conform to, an enlightened psychological strategy designed to establish a somewhity of interests in the differing aspirations of America and the peoples who have the will to be free.

the American people. It requires of our people a spirit of resolution, a villingness to searifies, an effort of understanding and a flow of generosity — generosity of the heart even more than generosity of the pures. Perhaps the truest psychological strategy is that we should so conduct curvelyns as a matical that we shall appear worthy of the rate of leadership which has ease upon we.

TOP RECEIVE	
-------------	--

25X1

- I. PROJECTS CONFLETED OR BEFORE
 - A. Office of Flane and Policy
 - B. Office of Coordination
 - C. Office of Evaluation and Review
 - Mrestor's Staff
 - I Presentive Office
- 2. PRISIDENTIAL DIRECTIVE, APRIL 4. 1951
- 3. ROLE OF PSICHOLOGICAL STRATEGY BOARD WIDER A/A/SI

CP. MICHEL

TO SERVE

AME 1

A. OFFICE OF HAME AND POLICE

- 1. Completed plan for psychological operations in the swent of a break-off in the Kersen assistion associations.
- 2. Sampleted plan for psychological operations in the sweet of success in the Kersen armistics negotiations.
- 3. Completed report on problems arising in connection with the repatriation of prisoners of war in Keren.
- 4. Completed guidance for overt propaganda in the event of general war.
- 5. Completed organisational plan for conducting payebolegical operations during general hostilities.
- 6. Completed inventory of resources available for psychological operations planning. Began study of resources available to sounter Soviet blos blackmail (such as the detention of the imprison flyers in Hungary).
- 7. Began analysis of Mational Security Council papers and other documents to determine our national sine, purposes and approved programs.
- 8. Completed psychological operations plan for the reduction of Communist posts in France.
- 9. Began psychological operations plan for the reduction of Communist power in Italy.

-	and the same of th			
			-	
		10.	3	همود

|--|

25X1

- _2_
- 10. Began plan for release of publicity on atomic and other new weapons.
- 11. Began preliminary work on plan to undermine the Soviet position in Eastern Germany and fit a united Germany into a unified Europe.
- 12. Began preliminary work on plan to reduce Communist pressures in Japan and Southeast Asia.
- 13. In cooperation with other offices, started plan for psychological operations to exploit the strains and uncertainties among Communistration from the eventuality of Stalin's death.
- L4. In cooperation with other offices began plan to derive maximum benefits from defection and disaffection of Soviet bloc nationals.

B. OFFICE OF COORDINATION

- 1. Established a pattern of relationships with the Department of Defense, Central Intelligence Agency and other Government offices.
- 2. Coordinated operational planning in execution of two plans covering Korean armistics contingencies (see A, 1 and 2).

J.	compressed a report and recommendations of	m elije	ru ,	-
already	under way to reduce Communist strength			
	This preceded preparation of long-range	plane,		А
A, 8 ami	9).		÷	
		4. 6	umplete	4 :

STAT

STAT

-3-

- of defectors and refugees from Iron Ourtain countries already in Mestern Burope (this preceded preparation of long-range plan, A, 14).
- 5. Began plan for psychological operations in the field of East-West trade.
- 5. Completed first stage of inquiry into social science research projects which might be useful in psychological strategy.

T. C.	A9	=							
			442	W -1	A C	merica	of	i	
aline.		coordination	ATC	Agree	V.				

7. Began coordination with voter of

25X1

- #. Carried out ecordinating and liminon activities eco-
- meeted with completed plans or plans in progress.

 9. Began survey of United States overt foreign information progress in order to identify major problems.
- 10. Began development of procedures governing the Board's responsibility under MSC 10/5.

C. OFFICE OF STALUATION AND REVIEW

- Provided initial sussary and analysis, with initial frame of reference, for inventory of resources available for psychological sperations planning (see 1, 6).
- 2. Prepared summary and analysis section, including intailigence support and analysis of existing situation
 in support of psychological operations plan for reduction of

 Description of the strength of the section o

•	
	=

STAT

STAT

25X1

STAT

STAT

4

STAT

3. Propared summary and analys	is section, including
intelligence support and analysis of	existing situation
in support of the psychologic	al operations plan for
reduction of Communist strength	(see A, 9).

- 4. Initiated preliminary work on plan to undersize Soviet position in Eastern Germany and fit a united Germany into a unified Europe; completed preliminary estimate of situation and began detailed summary and analysis in support of strategic planning project; contributed to establishment of terms of reference and strategic concept under which plan is being developed (see A, 11).
- 5. Initiated recommendation for preparation of a strategic plan for Japan; completed study on significant psychological factors in Japan, prepared initial recommendations as to scope and impact of Japanese Islands on Southeast Asia and other areas (see A, 12).
- 6. Contributed oral and written preliminary estimates for plan to reduce Communist pressures throughout Southeast Asia.
- 7. Began preparation of preliminary staff estimate of significant psychological factors in India with recommendation that it be considered for immediate action.
- 8. Assumed jointly with the Special Assistant to the Director responsibility for the plan for psychological operations to exploit strains and uncertainties enoug Communists arising from the eventuality of Stalin's death (see A, 13).

•	Taitiated
-₽	
,-	

TOP SECRET	
	1

-1-

- 9. Initiated original Board action on plon for the explaintation of Seviet orbit occupous; propared terms of reference; provided continuing intelligence and policy support for planning Phase A (see B, 14). Assumed responsibility for plan to derive regimes benefits from the defection of Seviet bloc nationals (see A, 14).
- 10. Propered preliminary staff study of paychalogical situation in the Middle East with recommendations as to the terms of reference, scope, policy, and strategic concept within which planning should be conducted.
- Il. Initiated preliminary estimate of the situation, recommendations, and factual support for study of resources available to counter Soviet blee blackmail (such as the detention of American fliers in Hungary).
- 12. Began evaluation of effectiveness of U.S.-U.K.-French disarmement proposal in the United Bations.
- 13. Completed staff study on methods and approaches for evaluating psychological situations and reviewing strategic plane.
- li. Provided intelligence support, including daily eral briefings for all members of the staff and for all projects.
- 15. Prepared preliminary staff study with estimate and recommendations on problems and prespects of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

16.	1	
250		

TO HERE.	•

- 16. Arranged indestrination of staff members on functions and obligations of agencies concerned in psychological operations, including the arrangement of briefings by key members of those agencies for staff members.
- 17. Established procedures and relationships with other agencies to provide intelligence and policy support for PSB activities.

D. DIRECTOR'S STAFF

- 1. Began study of a bread strategic concept for the current struggle.
- 2. Maintained liaison with the Maticnal Security Council Senior Staff on reports in progress.
- 3. Initiated re-examination of adequacy of mechanisms for policies, planning and ocordination in field of high-level, non-military deception.

E. MECUTIVE OFFICE

- 1. Assisted the Director in developing a scheme of organisation for the Staff.
 - 2. Established permanent headquarters of the Board.
- 3. Worked out with member agencies the means of financing the operations of the Board, the precurement of supplies and equipment, and personnel policies.

L	
_	

TOP SECRET	
------------	--

Approved For Release	000EI0 1100 0	LA DDDAADA	4=040	 448040 0

er e		" A R
TOP SECRET		
	- <i>i</i>	4.

25X1

- 4. Worked out a table of organisation including all staff positions.
 - 5. Prepared a budget.
- 6. Provided administrative support for the Board, the Director, and the staff.

TOP SECRET	

2/20/52

3				ECRET			40040.0	
	Approved For Release 2 SIGNA					R SHEET	10012 2	
	T DESCRIPTION						REGISTR	PY
SOURCE		* **					CIA CONTROL NO.	
DOC. NO.		Adm. Dennison 63182 White House 29 Feb. 52 25 Feb. 52 ted						
DOC. DATE	25						DATE DOCUMENT RECEIVED	
COPY NO.	CA	# THEK	one L	L.	ted	ļ.	LOGGED BY	
NUMBER OF PAGES NUMBER OF ATTACH		CY# THERMO 4						
NUMBER OF ATTACH		Encls.						
CIA. Access to ant Top Secret C custody in the let	form will be attached to each Tand will remain attached to the Top Secret material is limited to Control Officer who receives and officer who receives and officer of the Top Secret material is limited to Control Officer who receives and officer who receives and officer who received the columns provided. The indicated in the right-hand columns received the received the columns of	to those ind	lividuals	whose off iched Top vidual wh	icial dution Secret management of the secret management of the secret management of the secret management of the secret of the s	downgraded, de les relate to the	estroyed, or transmi ematerial. Each alt gn this form and ind cret document and t	itted outside of ernate or assist-
OFFICE	SIGNATURE	DATE	True	RELEA			SEEN BY	
Ara	SIGNATURE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	NAME AND OFFICE SYMBOL I		DATE
(DXXD)	_	129	1115	1/25		1		
ER		7-7-		2/24	119			
				//	1130	2		
	V							
			<u> </u>					
					İ			

25X1

NOTICE OF DETACHMENT: When this form is detached from Top Secret material it shall be completed in the appropriate spaces below and transmitted to Central Top Secret Control for record.

THE TOP SECRET MATERIAL DETACHED FROM THIS FORM WAS:	BY (Signature)	
DOWNGRADED	or (Signature)	
DESTROYED		
DISPATCHED (OUTSIDE CIA) TO		
(-2.3.2.2.3.1.4.3.2.3.1.4.3.2.3.1.4.3.2.3.1.4.3.2.3.1.4.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3.2.3	OFFICE	DATE

RM NO. 3 A Approved For Release 2005/04/20 : CIA-RDP80R01781R003300410012-2

TO 1851 38-13

25X1